

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER FILING DATE FIRST NAMED APPLICANT ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 08/475,470 06/07/95 CAPULSKI 115032-4

HM21/0901

ARNOLD B. SILVERMAN ECKERT SEAMANS CHERIN & MELLOTT 600 GRANT STREET, 42ND FLOOR PITTDBURGH PA 15219

EX	AMINER			
NELSON, A				
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
16	49 22			

DATE MAILED:

09/01/98

Below is a communication from the EXAMINER in charge of this application COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

ADVISORY ACTION

□тн	E PERIOD FOR RESPONSE:					
a) 🗌	is extended to run	or continues to run	from the date of the final rejection			
ь) 🗀	expires three months from the date o event however, will the statutory period	f the final rejection or as of the mailing dood for the response expire later than six	ate of this Advisory Action, whichever is later. In no months from the date of the final rejection.			
	Any extension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropriate fee. The date on which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.17 will be calculated from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set forth in b) above.					
Appellant's Brief is due in accordance with 37 CFR 1.192(a).						
Applicant's response to the final rejection, filed 8/13/18 has been considered with the following effect, but it is not deemed to place the application in condition for allowance:						
1. 🗵	The proposed amendments to the dai	im and /or specification will not be entere	ed and the final rejection stands because:			
	 a. There is no convincing showing presented. 	under 37 CFR 1.116(b) why the propos	ed amendment is necessary and was not earlier			
	b. They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See Note).					
	c. They raise the issue of new ma	tter. (See Note).				
	d. They are not deemed to place appeal.	the application in better form for appeal	by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for			
	e. They present additional claims	without cancelling a corresponding num	ber of finally rejected claims.			
	NOTE:					
2.	Newly proposed or amended claims the non-allowable claims.	would be allowed if	submitted in a separately filed amendment cancelling			
3. 🔀	Upon the filing an appeal, the propose be as follows:	ed amendment 🗌 will be entered 🔀 v	will not be entered and the status of the claims will			
	Claims allowed:					
	Claims objected to: 1-35 200	39				
	However;					
	Applicant's response has overcon	me the following rejection(s):				
4. 🔀	The efficient exhibitor request for re-	consideration has been considered but of the	toes not overcome the rejection because <u>rejection</u> 285 on in 000 28 5 vivo 285 on in 000 200 500 200 200 200 200 200 200 200	unds anly		
5.		sidered because applicant has not shown	n good and sufficent reasons why so not earlier	V)7		
The	proposed drawing correction has	s has not been approved by the ex	uglas W. Rebir aminer. Supervisory Patelty E Technology Center			
☐ Oth	for ex vivo method of tratment in human or bir(EV.5-89)	builted in the Samulski l expressing genes in a prien in a recognized animal mo	Declaration filed 3/13/98 only provide ate, but provide no avidance for this left a specific condition.			